# Crestline Village Water District Change in Water Production - Cubic Feet 2013 vs 2017

2017 Production Wells	<u>Jan</u> 778,620	<u>Feb</u> 898,292	<u>Mar</u> 1,305,512	<u>Apr</u> 1,277,540	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u> -	<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	Oct -	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Total</u> 4,259,964
CLAWA	1,388,503	950,936	701,884	647,139	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3,688,462
Total	2,167,123	1,849,228	2,007,396	1,924,679			-		-				7,948,426
rotar	2,107,120	1,043,220	2,007,000	1,524,075									1,040,420
2017 Cummulative													
Wells	778,620	1,676,912	2,982,424	4,259,964	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLAWA	1,388,503	2,339,439	3,041,323	3,688,462	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	2,167,123	4,016,351	6,023,747	7,948,426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2013 Production													
Wells	1,892,667	1,416,423	1,570,947	1,427,183	1,498,424	1,506,235	1,372,567	1,350,287	1,330,182	1,210,928	1,344,261	1,168,307	17,088,411
CLAWA	1,026,069	378,743	606,417	878,490	1,119,532	1,641,898	2,091,243	1,889,105	1,991,017	1,541,056	1,111,284	1,081,043	15,355,897
Total	2,918,736	1,795,166	2,177,364	2,305,673	2,617,956	3,148,133	3,463,810	3,239,392	3,321,199	2,751,984	2,455,545	2,249,350	32,444,308
2013 Cummulative													
Wells	1,892,667	3,309,090	4,880,037	6,307,220	7,805,644	9,311,879	10,684,446	12,034,733	13,364,915	14,575,843	15,920,104	17,088,411	
CLAWA	1,026,069	1,404,812	2,011,229	2,889,719	4,009,251	5,651,149	7,742,392	9,631,497	11,622,514	13,163,570	14,274,854	15,355,897	
Total	2,918,736	4,713,902	6,891,266	9,196,939	11,814,895	14,963,028	18,426,838	21,666,230	24,987,429	27,739,413	30,194,958	32,444,308	
		· ·	· · ·	· · ·	· · ·					, ,		· ·	
Change in Production													
Wells	(1,114,047)	(518,131)	(265,435)	(149,643)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLAWA	362,434	572,193	95,467	(231,351)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	(751,613)	54,062	(169,968)	(380,994)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total (AF)	(17.25)	1.24	(3.90)	(8.75)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
% Change													
Wells	-58.86%	-36.58%	-16.90%	-10.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
CLAWA	35.32%	151.08%	15.74%	-26.34%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	-25.75%	3.01%	-7.81%	-16.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Cummulativa Change													
Cummulative Change Wells	(4.444.047)	(4.000.470)	(4.007.040)	(2.047.050)									
CLAWA	(1,114,047) 362,434	(1,632,178)	(1,897,613)	(2,047,256) 798,743	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		934,627	1,030,094				-	-	-	-		-	
Total	(751,613)	(697,551)	(867,519)	(1,248,513)	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Total (AF)	(17.25)	(16.01)	(19.92)	(28.66)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
% Cummulative Change													
Wells	-58.86%	-49.32%	-38.89%	-32.46%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
CLAWA	35.32%	66.53%	51.22%	27.64%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	-25.75%	-14.80%	-12.59%	-13.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

# Crestline Village Water District Change in Customer Water Consumption - Cubic Feet

2013 vs 2017

2017 Consumption Cubic Feet	<u>Jan</u> 1,903,573	<u>Feb</u> 1,723,001	<u>Mar</u> 1,714,036	<u><b>Apr</b></u> 1,919,473	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Total</u> 7,260,083
Total	1,903,573	1,723,001	1,714,036	1,919,473	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,260,083
2017 Cummulative													
Cubic Feet	1,903,573	3,626,574	5,340,610	7,260,083	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	1,903,573	3,626,574	5,340,610	7,260,083	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2013 Consumption													
Cubic Feet	2,261,012	1,952,389	1,843,970	2,101,256	2,351,113	2,776,689	3,125,809	3,075,879	2,952,319	2,417,952	2,060,523	2,062,910	28,981,821
Total	2,261,012	1,952,389	1,843,970	2,101,256	2,351,113	2,776,689	3,125,809	3,075,879	2,952,319	2,417,952	2,060,523	2,062,910	28,981,821
2013 Cummulative													
Cubic Feet	2,261,012	4,213,401	6,057,371	8,158,627	10,509,740	13,286,429	16,412,238	19,488,117	22,440,436	24,858,388	26,918,911	28,981,821	
Total	2,261,012	4,213,401	6,057,371	8,158,627	10,509,740	13,286,429	16,412,238	19,488,117	22,440,436	24,858,388	26,918,911	28,981,821	
Ohaman in Oanaannatian													
Change in Consumption Cubic Feet	(357,439)	(229,388)	(129,934)	(181,783)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	(357,439)	(229,388)	(129,934)	(181,783)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total (AF)	(8.21)	(5.27)	(2.98)	(4.17)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
% Change	-15.81%	-11.75%	-7.05%	-8.65%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	-15.81%	-11.75%	-7.05%	-8.65%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Cummulative Change													
Cubic Feet	(357,439)	(586,827)	(716,761)	(898,544)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	(357,439)	(586,827)	(716,761)	(898,544)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total (AF)	(8.21)	(13.47)	(16.45)	(20.63)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
% Cummulative Change	-15.81%	-13.93%	-11.83%	-11.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	-15.81%	-13.93%	-11.83%	-11.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

## Crestline Village Water District Gallons Per Capita Daily Calculation

Ca	lendar	2017	7
----	--------	------	---

Calendar 2017													
Residential Services with 92325	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Average</u>
Zip	2,723	2,738	2,743	2,741									912
People per Household	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83
Est. Full-Time Population	7,706	7,749	7,763	7,757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,581
Days Per Month	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	30	31	365
Gallons Water Produced	16,210,080	13,832,225	15,015,322	14,396,599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,454,226
92325 Residential Water Usage ( % Residential Water	1,332,076 69.98%	1,243,163 72.15%	1,207,662 70.46%	1,364,842 71.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5,147,743 70.90%
Gallons Per Capita Daily - 2017	67.86	63.75	62.39	61.87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.11
R - Gallons Per Capita Daily - 2017	47.49	46.00	43.96	43.99	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	44.75
Calendar 2013  Residential Services with 92325 Zip  People per Household	2,604 2.83	2,604 2.83	2,591 2.83	2,603 2.83	2,597 2.83	2,592 2.83	2,596 2.83	2,589 2.83	2,580 2.83	2,603 2.83	2,597 2.83	2,592 2.83	2,596 2.83
Est. Full-Time Population	7,369	7,369	7,333	7,366	7,350	7,335	7,347	7,327	7,301	7,366	7,350	7,335	7,347
Days Per Month	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	30	31	365
Gallons Water Produced	21,832,145	13,427,842	16,286,683	17,246,434	19,582,311	23,548,035	25,909,299	24,230,652	24,842,569	20,584,840	18,367,477	16,825,138	242,683,424
92325 Residential Water Usage ( % Residential Water	1,419,270 62.77%	1,272,987 65.20%	1,230,130 66.71%	1,399,353 66.60%	1,502,032 63.89%	1,750,211 63.03%	1,918,331 61.37%	1,925,042 62.59%	1,890,412 64.03%	1,568,571 64.87%	1,418,663 68.85%	1,332,536 64.59%	18,627,538 64.27%
Gallons Per Capita Daily - 2013	95.57	65.08	71.65	78.05	85.94	107.01	113.76	106.68	113.42	90.15	83.30	73.99	90.50
R - Gallons Per Capita Daily - 2013	59.99	42.43	47.79	51.98	54.91	67.45	69.81	66.77	72.62	58.48	57.35	47.79	58.16
Change R-GPCD 2013-2017	(12.50)	3.57	(3.83)	(7.99)									(13.42)
% Change	-20.84%	8.40%	-8.02%	-15.36%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-23.07%
, criango	20.0470	0.40/0	0.02/0	10.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	20.0. /0



#### Applications > <u>Urban Water R-GPCD (https://drinc.ca.gov/dnn/Applications/UrbanWaterR-GPCD.aspx)</u>

Residential gallons-per-capita-day (**R-GPCD**) is calculated using the formula below from monthly Monitoring Reports submitted by urban water suppliers as required under emergency regulation.

### Monthly Water Production \* Percentage Residential Use (Population) \* (Days in Month)

R-GPCD figures are compared with the 2013 baseline year's usage for the same period, the monthly average for the supplier's hydrological region, and the statewide average. Only suppliers with a calculated R-GPCD between 25 and 1000 are used in calculation of averages. For questions on this application, please contact the <a href="mailto:DRINC Portal Administrator">DRINC Portal Administrator</a> (mailto:drinc@cdph.ca.gov? subject=GPCD).



Const Sar Joaques Regions

Rorth
Coast

Sar Joaques
Fluctured

Sar Joaques
Fluctured


Const


ESI-5

Urban water suppliers are required to report by the 15<sup>th</sup> of each month the previous month's water usage. To view urban water supplier usage by Supplier, Hydrological Region, and State, select from the drop-down list below.

Crestline Village Water District (623)

## Cumulative State urban water use reduction since June 2015: 22.5 %





These charts are generated dynamically from the production data set. To download a spreadsheet of the data, please click <u>HERE</u>

(http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water\_issues/programs/drought/conservation\_reporting\_info.shtml#urban\_ws\_rpt).

A "0" on the bottom chart indicates that a report has not been submitted for that month. The charts may not display properly using the Chrome browser.

It is not appropriate to use R-GPCD water use data for comparisons across water suppliers unless all relevant factors are accounted for. Factors that can affect per capita water usage include:

INTRODUCTION

- Rainfall, temperature and evaporation rates Precipitation and temperature varies widely across the state. Areas with high temperature and low rainfall need to use more water to maintain outdoor landscaping. Even within the same hydrological region or the same water supply district, these factors can vary considerably having a significant effect on the amount of water needed to maintain landscapes.
- Population growth As communities grow, new residential dwellings are constructed with more efficient plumbing fixtures, which cause interior water use to decline per person as compared to water use in older communities. Population growth also increases overall demand.
- Population density highly urbanized areas with high population densities use less water per person than do more rural or suburban areas since high density dwellings tend to have shared outdoor spaces and there is less landscaped area per person that needs to be irrigated.
- Socio-economic measures such as lot size and income Areas with higher incomes generally use more water than areas with lower incomes. Larger landscaped residential lots that require more water are often associated with more affluent communities. Additionally, higher income households may be less sensitive to the cost of water, since it represents a smaller portion of household income.
- Water prices Water prices can influence demand by providing a monetary incentive for customers to conserve water. Rate structures have been established in many districts for water conservation, but the effectiveness of these rate structures to deter excessive use and customer sensitivity to water prices vary.

Back to Top Terms Of Use | Privacy Statement | Contact Us

Copyright 2017 by SWRCB, State of California